

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/085,263	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ONISHI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Damon Conover	<b>Art Unit</b> 2624	

**All Participants:**

(1) Damon Conover.

(2) Office of Douglas Holtz, Reg. No. 33,902.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 1 August 2007
**Time:** 3:15 p.m.
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:


Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*I spoke with someone at the office of the attorney for the application. She verified that no response to the non-final action had been filed in the past six months.*
**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

ANDREW W. JOHNS  
PRIMARY EXAMINER



  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)